













IS MOST
LIKELY BASED
ON THE
"CURRENT
RISK OF
CONTRACTION
WITH RESPECT
TO AGE" PUT
OUT BY THE
NATIONAL
CANCER
RESEARCH
CENTER.

| Current age | 5 years ago | 10 years ago | 15 years ago | 20 years ago | 25 years ago | 30 years ago | 35 years ago | 40 years ago | Life total |
|-------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| 5 years | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.2% |
| 10 years | 0.1% | 0.2% | 0.3% | 0% | 0% | 0.1% | 0.1% | | 0.3% |
| 20 years | 0.2% | 0.7% | 0% | 0% | 0.1% | 0.2% | | | 0.3% |
| 30 years | 0.3% | 2% | 7% | 0.1% | 0.2% | | | | 0.3% |
| 40 years | 0% | 7% | 2.1% | 0.1% | | | | | 0.3% |
| 50 years | 0% | 20% | 8.1% | | | | | | 0.3% |
| 60 years | 1.1% | 0.1% | | | | | | | 0.3% |
| 70 years | 0.0% | | | | | | | | 0.0% |
| 80 years | | | | | | | | | 0.0% |


THE CHART
OF "ONE
IN TWO
PEOPLE"
THAT LIFE
INSURANCE
COMPANIES
USE IN TV
COMMERCIALS
AND SO FORTH.

...62% FOR
MALES, 46%
FOR FEMALES.
INDEED, THAT
IS TRULY ONE
IN EVERY TWO
PEOPLE.



THE
PROBA-
BILITY OF
GETTING
CANCER
IN ONE'S
LIFE SPAN
IS...

EVEN AT 80
YEARS, IT IS
STABILIZED AT
41% IN MALES
AND 29% IN
FEMALES.



HOWEVER,
WHEN YOU
EXAMINE THE
MATTER CARE-
FULLY, THE
PROBABILITY
OF GETTING
CANCER
BETWEEN THE
AGES OF 0
AND 70 IS 21%
IN MALES
AND 19% IN
FEMALES.



ISN'T THIS MERELY MATHEMATICAL RHETORIC?

IN OTHER WORDS, THE FACT OF 'ONE IN TWO PEOPLE' GETTING CANCER APPLIES TO PEOPLE OVER THE AGE OF 80.



SO PEOPLE DIE EVENTUALLY IN HOSPITALS AND RETIREMENT HOMES, WHETHER OR NOT THEY HAVE CANCER.

DEATH RATE

THE AVERAGE LIFE SPAN IN JAPAN IS 80 FOR MALES, AND 86 FOR FEMALES. GETTING CANCER WOULD BE ALMOST AT THE END OF ONE'S LIFE SPAN.

80 YEARS OLD

Two men in suits stand behind a large, stylized number '12' that is partially cut out, revealing a dark background. The man on the left is looking towards the right, and the man on the right is looking towards the left.

THAT
STATEMENT
IS NOT FALSE,
BUT IT'S
ALSO A
TRICKY
SLEIGHT
OF HAND.

"WE ARE
IN AN AGE
OF ONE
IN TWO
PEOPLE
GETTING
CANCER."

A close-up of a young man with short dark hair and glasses. He is wearing a dark sweater over a collared shirt. He has a serious expression.

WHAT
HAVE YOU
TO SAY
TO THAT?

AS FAR AS
I'M CONCERNED,
MANIPULATING
PEOPLE'S
IMPRESSIONS BY
USING THE WORD
"CANCER," THE NAME
OF A SICKNESS SO
FEARED BY ALL, IS
NOTHING BUT A
SALES TACTIC TO
MAKE PEOPLE BUY
LIFE INSURANCE.

A close-up of a young man with short dark hair, wearing a dark hoodie. He is looking slightly to the right with a serious expression.

PERFECT
LOGIC.
THAT'S
MY DAD.





HE PUSHED
AWAY THE
ENEMIES
THAT
BARGED
INTO THE
CASTLE!



HE'S NOT
DONE
WITH THE
COUNTER
ATTACK.



AND EVEN IF
ONE DID GET
CANCER, IT
WOULDN'T
COST SO
MUCH THAT
INSURANCE
WOULD BE
NECESSARY.



IF A PERSON
GETS DIAGNOSED
WITH CANCER,
THEY RECEIVE
APPROXIMATELY
ONE MILLION.
THAT SHOWS
WHY THERE ARE
SO MANY CANCER
INSURANCES.

IT WOULD
COST
ABOUT A
MILLION
YEN.



THE IMPRESSION
THAT PEOPLE
HAVE THAT
"GETTING
CANCER IS VERY
EXPENSIVE" IS
ILLUSORY;
IF ONE HAS A
SAVINGS OF ONE
MILLION YEN,
THEY DON'T NEED
CANCER
INSURANCE.

EVEN IF THE FIXED
"MAJOR MEDICAL
EXPENSE FINANCING"
DOESN'T HAVE TO BE
USED WHEN MEDICAL
TREATMENT EXPENSES
GET TOO HIGH,
INSURANCE COMPANIES
KNOW THAT ONE
MILLION YEN WILL
COVER THE COSTS
OF CANCER
TREATMENTS AND
HOSPITALIZATIONS.

...JAPAN HAS A
NATIONAL ANNUITIES
AND SECURITY
PROGRAM, BUT,
NOT MENTIONING
THAT FACT, YOU
STATE THAT FAMILIES
WILL GO BROKE IF
THE MAJOR INCOME
EARNER DIES.
SOMETHING IS
WRONG WITH THAT
PICTURE.

AND
WHAT'S
MORE...

HE'S
TOTALLY
ON A
ROLL!

COMMON CITIZEN

TAKE
AUTOMOBILES,
FOR EXAMPLE.
THEY HAVE
MANDATORY
ACCIDENT
INSURANCE,
AS WELL AS
VOLUNTARY
INSURANCE.

PUBLIC
INSURANCE IS
BASED ON TWO
FOUNDATIONS:
INSURANCE FOR
CITIZENS, AND
INSURANCE FOR
BUSINESSES.

**GOVERNMENT
CORPORATE INDUSTRIES**

YOU SHOULD
HAVE EXPLAINED
THAT TO MY WIFE,
BUT YOU ONLY
SUGGESTED THE
"COMMON CITIZEN"
INSURANCE ANGLE
TO HER. WHAT
YOU DID WAS
AN AFFRONT
TO RATIONAL
THINKING.



**SURVIVOR'S
MUTUAL-AID PENSION**

SURVIVOR'S BASIC PENSION

IF I
PASS AWAY,
MY FAMILY WILL
RECEIVE THE
BASIC
SURVIVOR
PENSION AND
SURVIVOR'S
MUTUAL-AID
PENSION.

YOU ARE
ABSOLUTELY
RIGHT,
SENSEI.
HATS OFF
TO YOU.



HOWEVER,
I HAVE A
RETOUR.



IT'S
SAID THAT 100
MILLION YEN
IS REQUIRED
FOR LIFE
AFTER
RETIREMENT!
IT'D BE
WORRYING IF
INSURED
ANNUITIES
COULD
BARELY FOOT
THE BILL!

ISN'T THE
BANKRUPTCY
RISK OF
PRIVATE
INSURANCES
GREATER
THAN THAT
OF THE GOVERN-
MENTS?

NATIONAL
ANNUITIES
COULD
FAIL AT
ANY TIME!

WHERE DO YOU
GET THIS "100
MILLION YEN"
FIGURE FROM?
THAT NUMBER
IS NOTHING
BUT A SHAM!



NOT
EVERYTHING
CAN BE
EXPLAINED
WITH
NUMBERS!

LIFE
INSURANCE
IS FULL OF
CONCERN AND
KINDNESS
TOWARD
ONE'S
FAMILY!



IF IT IS ONE'S
DUTY TO LEAVE
ONE'S FAMILY
WITH SOLID
INSURANCE,
ACCURATE
FIGURES ARE
ALL THE MORE
IMPORTANT!


NUMBERS
LIKE THIS
ARE THE
MEASURING
UNITS
OF LOVE,
AREN'T
THEY?!



THIS IS
TURNING
INTO A
VICIOUS
BATTLE.

YIKES...






THAT
WOULD
BE ME
AND
AIKO-
CHAN!



WE CHILDREN
WOULD
BE THE
BENEFICIARIES
OF THE LIFE
INSURANCE
SYSTEM!

IT'S THE
CHILDREN
WHO NEED TO
HAVE THE BEST
UNDERSTANDING
OF IT, SO IT'S
WRONG FOR
THESE ADULTS
TO GO ON
DEBATING
WITHOUT
INCLUDING THE
CHILDREN!





I'M READY
TO DO
BATTLE!

I, TOO, HAVE
ENTERED THE
BATTLEFIELD.

